NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

POST OBSERVATION CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

Date of Discussion	5-29-2023		
Observation date	5-2-2023		
Candidate's Name:	Subhendra Sarkar		
<u>Department</u>	Radiologic Technology & Medical Imaging		
Representatives Present			
Course & Section	RAD 4826/OL18 Advance Imaging II		
Name of Observer	Evans Lespinasse		
Name of Observee	Subhendra Sarkar		
Date Observation Filed with Chairperson 5-29-23			
P&B member or other assigned by chairperson			

(Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Signed	Subhendra Sarkar	Associate Professor
•		

Title

I understand that my signature means only that I have read this memorandum and that I may attach any comments I wish.

Staff Member's Signature <u>Subhendra Sarkar</u>	<u>5-29-23</u>
6/96	date

NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

FACULTY CLASS ROOM OBSERVATION REPORT Year 2023

(X)Untenured

() Tenured

Department: Radiologic Technology & Medical Imaging Course/Section RAD 4826/OL18

Name of Observee:	Sarkar, Subhendra Last Name, First Name	Rank:	Assoct. Professor
Name of Observer:	Lespinasse, Evans	Rank:	Assist. Professor
Date of Observation:	5-2-2023	Room:	Online

Lesson Topic & Brief Summary: Multi modal breast imaging - relation, techniques & pathology.

Please complete each item. This report will be returned unless each category contains supporting comments. Use additional pages if necessary.

1. CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT (prompt start, efficient attendance check): (x) Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory

Dr. Sarkar opened the Zoom classroom early as students began to join in. Students were asked to introduce themselves with a brief synapses of their educational plan in the BSRS program.

Students were called by name during instructor/student interactions. Attendance check was not observed, however, the Zoom platform does provide access to documentation of students' participation.

PROFESSIONAL TRAITS (professional appearance and demeanor, clarity, volume, and pace of speech; establishment of rapport with students) (x) Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory

Dr. Sarkar presented a professional appearance and demeanor. He communicated clearly and efficiently got his message across. He paced himself well, not too fast and not too slow, with sufficient volume that eliminated any barrier keeping participants from hearing him. The instructor illustrated good rapport with the students right from the start. Overall, an excellent learning environment observed.

3. SUBJECT MASTERY (accuracy of presented material, use of appropriate terminology, competence in use of equipment) (x)Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory

Dr. Sarkar discussed medical imaging of the breast now and future prospects in various modalities including CT, MR and Mammography. Specifically, imaging leaders must understand breast anatomy and pathophysiology, as well as how different types of imaging equipment compare with each other in order to run a successful office and satisfy exam demands. Mammography equipment filtration with the use of a rhodium vs. a molybdenum target demonstrates that molybdenum generates a higher energy x-ray. Also a profile of x-ray in mammography using the tungsten target characteristic x-ray from the L-shell was beautifully illustrated and thoroughly discussed. All of these comparisons are necessary for the radiology leadership to know and understand which machine to acquire for their department. This was done with excellence, demonstrating deep knowledge of the subject.

4. ORGANIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF MATERIAL (clear statement of objectives, logical sequence, budgeting of time, review, summary, and outside assignments as appropriate) (x)Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory

Dr. Sarkar presented a well developed and organized presentation with clear and logical structure that guided the students through his main ideas. He consistently followed each point with evidence, examples and an analysis to support each claim. Objectives were stated at the beginning of class with some background information and he exhibited good time management. Review/summary observed with nice projections of what comes next.

5. PRESENTATION OF MATERIAL (level and clarity of presentation, appropriate use of learning aids) (x) Excellent () Very Good () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory

Presenting to a class that consists of students from differing medical imaging expertise ie: Mammography, CT, MRI, Ultrasound, Nuclear Medicine, and Radiation Therapy, is not an easy task. When this is coupled with having to explain complex or unfamiliar concepts to such an audience that may not share the same level of expertise in their respective professional disciplines, it can really be a challenge. However, Dr. Sarkar was able to balance depth and clarity exceedingly well.

6. STUDENT-INSTRUCTOR INTERACTION (relevance, variety, and clarity of questions, appropriate recognition of student contributions) (x) Excellent () Very Good () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory

Dr. Sarkar was able to instruct fluently without overwhelming or confusing the

audience. Questions and discussions were relatable to the subject matter. However, I would have liked to see more student engagement throughout. Students communicated more in the beginning of session and not so much thereafter.

7. OVERALL EVALUATION (categories 1 through 6)

- (x)Excellent()Very Good()Satisfactory
- ()Unsatisfactory

8. SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT (use additional pages if necessary)

Dr. Sarkar delivered a meaningful presentation that I enjoyed very much. His expertise on the subject was evident as he lectured with confidence, poise, and passion. The students appeared to be completely satisfied with the new knowledge imparted on them. My only recommendation for improvement is as follows:

1. Work on student engagement during the lecture by:

- Preparing a few questions about your favorite points to ask the students to stimulate more participation/discussion.
- Allowing or budgeting time at the end for Q & A

I have read and have been given a copy of the above report, and so signify by my signature below. I understand that I may attach additional comments to this document.

Chanse spinasse	5/29/23
Signature of Observee	date
Subhendra Sarkar	5/29/23
Signature of Observer	date

1/04