NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

POST OBSERVATION CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM Date of Discussion October 10, 2018 Observation date Oct 4, 2018 Candidate's Name Prof. Relieura Mazumdar Department Euglis 4 Representatives Present Course & Section ENG 3401 Prof-NiLa Bankt Name of Observer Name of Observee Date Observation Filed $\frac{10/9/201}{9}$ with Chairperson_____ P&B member or other assigned by chairperson (Attach additional pages if necessary.) Dept When I understand that my signature means only that I have read this memorandum and that I may attach any comments I wish. Staff Member's Signature + Mal 6/96

NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

FACULTY CLASS	ROOM OBSERVATION REPO	DRT	Year 2018	
(X) Tenured			() Untenured	
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
DepartmentE	nglish	Course/Section	ENG 3401/D614	
Name of observee_	Mazumdar, Rebecca Last Name, First Name	Rank <u>Associate</u>	<u>Professor</u>	
Name of Observer _	Nina Bannett	Rank <u>Professor/0</u>	<u>Chair</u>	
Date of Observation	October 4, 2018	Room Midway	307	
supporting comme	ach item. This report will be ints. Use additional pages if e===================================	necessary.		
October. Prof students cam	promptly with Prof. Mazumdar . Mazumdar took attendance v e on time, with the remaining ch student by name.	erbally at the start of	class (approximately 2	
of speech; es	PROFESSIONAL TRAITS (professional appearance and demeanor, clarity, volume, and pace of speech; establishment of rapport with students) (x) Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory			
	dar was chatting with students fessional, encouraging demea			iintained

3.	SUBJECT MASTERY (accuracy of presented material, use of appropriate terminology, competence in use of equipment)
	(X) Excellent () Very Good () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
	Prof. Mazumdar reinforced a wide variety of literary, historical, and legal contexts through a range of classroom activities. Through a brief student presentation, students heard about the American women's suffrage movement, the #Metoo movement and the Supreme Court confirmation battle. Through the <i>Handmaid's Tale Jeopardy</i> game the students played, Prof. Mazumdar discussed concepts such as feminism, rape culture, narrative, genocide and dehumanization. As this is an upper-level literature course on law and literature, these concepts are relevant not only to Atwood's text but inform current events at home and abroad.
4.	ORGANIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF MATERIAL (clear statement of objectives, logical sequence, budgeting of time, review, summary, and outside assignments as appropriate) (X) Excellent () Very Good () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
	This class session was exceptionally well organized in the following way:
	 review of how to post students' Oppression journals on the course's OpenLab site and how to find the Alibi podcast (the next text students will be analyzing) student's Powerpoint presentation on the suffrage movement Handmaid's Tale Jeopardy-students competed in three teams to answer questions in five categories: Offred's Story, Gilead, Themes, Connections, People of Giliad.
	Class time was allocated clearly and every activity moved the class forward. See #5 for discussion of student engagement in <i>Jeopardy</i> .
5.	PRESENTATION OF MATERIAL (level and clarity of presentation, appropriate use of learning aids) (X) Excellent () Very Good () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
	Prof. Mazumdar's learning aids were highly inventive (<i>Jeopardy</i> game) and led to an outstanding level of student participation. The course's OpenLab site was projected on the screen to help students understand how to post their oppression journal assignment. Prof. Mazumdar took the time to show students how to find the correct podcast (from South Africa), their next assigned text. She also encouraged them to read ahead, since the Doctorow novel <i>Daniel</i> , the last reading of the term, is a challenging, postmodern text.

STUDENT-INSTRUCTOR INTERACTION (relevance, variety, and clarity of questions, appropriate recognition of student contributions)		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory	
Mazumdar handled student's many questions saying at one point, "we'll figure it out." Prof. generating essay questions for upcoming exaposted on OpenLab to help students review. problems, and facilitated questions for the st Mazumdar's sense of humor and fun helped	and ded Daily Doubles) and students were so rdy music on his smartphone. Beyond this, Prof. is about upcoming assignments very effectively, Mazumdar let students know that they will be ams, and that the Jeopardy game would later be She did a wonderful job anticipating student	
7. OVERALL EVALUATION (categories 1 throu (X) Excellent () Very Good () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory	gh 6)	
This was an outstanding class, among the be department. As one student said during Jeon		
8. SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPI	ROVEMENT (use additional pages if necessary)	
None.		
I have read and have been given a copy of the below. I understand that I may attach additio	e above report, and so signify by my signature nal comments to this document.	
Mark	10/40/18	
Signature of observee	date	
In Barrett	10-10-2018	
Signature of observer	date	