

Candace Gittens-Valbrune

ENG1101

December 8, 2023

Reflection of U3 Multimodal Project

Word Count: 1000

Reflection

I chose the style of my open letter because of its slight versatility. I wasn't much of a person who thought of letters myself so they really didn't intrigue me until I found out about their purpose and what they could be used for. The overall piece length of an open letter depends on exactly what's being written and commented about by the writer. Imagery can be used, but most of the time, it is not, however, metaphor and similes are used. The point of view of the letter is written in present tense most of the time and in the first person point of view, there is just one person talking. The purpose of the letter is to commentate and emphasize a point, to make a clear message in a consistent manner. Open letters are usually in newspapers, and press releases, and also are in other publications. I understood open letters as mini essay's. Since they are like essay's, that format was that always came naturally to me to write, so, I chose open letters. Open letters can have the flexibility of the use of "I", as well as could be stating a person's opinion while backing it up with sources, without the typical trying to sound smart like you would do in

an essay. I think that my audience would be told about this open letter by the generation of adults under them. I was specifically focused on writing to people from an older age, so maybe this would be seen in a newspaper, or talked about locally by people.

Originally, I wanted to do an audience of young adults since many of those people are content creators, journalists, writers or news reporters covering the overall topic, and extra information on a topic to clear it up would only help research on a topic. But I've changed that answer to older adults, in my letter, I wanted to reflect an hopefully pull some people together on the topic and learning of a moral panic, as most likely some of them might have past reference with the phrase, so I wanted to focus on that aspect of what was happening and hopefully spread the want to not misinform people in the future about knowledge. I wanted to establish being better informed, and understanding the impact that what we talk about and its effects on other people, and we need to understand that we can learn better to lessen moral panic, as well as assist in availing misinformation in the future.

However, there would most likely be some preconceived notions that would need to be cleared up. One would be seeing their thoughts about moral panic and what they would think of it. I have considered that many would dismiss it as not important, or too important to focus on which would cause a panic, which wouldn't be good for anyone. So after clearing up misinformation and any preconceived notions about the topic. I tell them how moral panic connects to the topic of a panic that can cause people to be irrational and cause people to panic in the long run. Their assumptions might also be that this is something that you would never want to try and learn in the first place, therefore it wouldn't be worth it to try and calm moral panic in

the long term. But I would try to point out that people have acted on the wrong information in a panic and rush before, and people sometimes won't make smart decisions when rushing with the wrong information. I essentially want to move forward with our information, as well as make it clear that we should look and make sure that it is clear that when the information we have starts to make us panic, to make sure we have the ability to look and research if that information is true, and what solutions we can do about it.

How I would describe my piece in a few words would be informative, versatile, academic, direct, and an overall use in having open letters be publications. I directed my letter to be informative toward people. I have some quotes that were directly from the article and have explained how I formed my opinion about what I'm writing about. Firstly, to be informative, is one of my main goals in writing this. I wanted my audience to be able to make opinions for themselves and possibly wanted to look into more research on the topic. Secondly, I have said before that since they are like essay's, that format always came naturally to me to write in. That is what mostly makes it versatile, clear and understanding for me to do and write about. It's nice to do an essay on a topic that I've personally found interesting, especially in a new format I've never done before, especially since its wording and format is already familiar to me like an essay would be. Thirdly, this letter also shares academic themes because of the many ways you can cite sources, as well as the ways that you can write the article itself. While this piece is still about a person's opinion, it is still very tied to educational theme's. As well as academic, open letter can be direct in there point, while also being concise. The directness of an open letter can help the audience understand it better, as well as, or help the author cut down parts if they are to struggling with the piece. Lastly, there is a mention of an open letter being published and looked at as a piece in a newspaper. The publication of an open letter can do many things, it can tie me

towards my audience by being published as a piece in a newspaper, which is something my audience would like. It will also help me see what other peers would review and think of my work, and it would overall benefit me by seeing what people liked my work and what I could do with it. After all, there is an audience for everything, and I could see what people liked, and what I needed to fix. Overall, I really enjoyed writing the open letter and discovering what I could do.