

Michael Perez

Updated Topic

I will now be talking about the repercussions of adopting or not adopting an open source methodology. I unfortunately have not found many scholarly articles written on this subject specifically. I thought that if I kept it broader I would get more because my first topic I saw as being too narrow when looking for hits. I still plan on including a portion of my first topic in this one (The ethical pro/cons of open source). It still is a topic that interests me so I will find a way to include what I do find into my final paper.

Annotated Bibliography:

- Northwest Educational Technology Consortium, Open Options Arguments About Open Source, September 30, 2005, March 31, 2012.
http://www.netc.org/openoptions/pros_cons/comparing.html

This site contains a large listing of arguments in different fields that can be affected by open source. I will use this site as a launching point in conjunction with other sources to build the arguments around.

- Richard Stallman, Why Open Source misses the point of Free Software, 2011/09/20, 2012/03/31.

<http://www.gnu.org/philosophy/open-source-misses-the-point.html>

This site shows an alternative to both not adopting and adopting open source. This site explains what the free software movement is and how the open source movement misses the point of this other movement.

- Lerner, J. and Tirole, J. (2002), Some Simple Economics of Open Source. The Journal of Industrial Economics, 50: 197–234. doi: 10.1111/1467-6451.00174

This article explores Open source from an economic standpoint and gives us a feel for how industrial organizations feel about the subject of open source.

- David M. Berry, (2004) "Internet research: privacy, ethics and alienation: an open source approach", Internet Research, Vol. 14 Iss: 4, pp.323 – 332

This paper examines some of the ethical problems involved in undertaking Internet research. It argues that privacy is misleading and a confusing

concept to attempt to apply it to the internet. It winds up arguing for the idea of open source and a its inclusion of a more democratic and participatory research methodology.