## **Peer-Review form**

Please comment on the paper with regard to the following general criteria. If more than one response is pertinent, check all relevant boxes.

# Paper Title: Combating Urban Stratification: Building Fresh Strategies for Integrative Symbiotic Urban Interventions

#### **Content and Relevance**

Is the paper appropriate to the conference theme(s)?

Very Appropriate  $\Box$  Appropriate  $ot\! Ø$  Somewhat appropriate  $\Box$  Not appropriate  $\Box$ 

If it has specific in focus (for example a case study) does it also raise relevant issues of general concern in its field(s)?

Very relevant  $\Box$  Relevant  $\cancel{O}$  Somewhat relevant  $\Box$  Not relevant  $\Box$ 

If it is a general overview, does it back up arguments with sufficient specific examples?

Ample  $\Box$  Sufficient Ø Lacking  $\Box$ 

#### Significance

Does the paper deal with issues of importance or interest to some / all of the following discipline areas:

Architecture/housing  $ot\!\!\!O$  Media  $\square$  Politics  $\square$  Sociology  $ot\!\!\!O$  Other  $\square$  (please explain)

Does it deal with significant questions in the fields of:

Practice	Education $\Box$	Theory $Q$	Ď

Is the paper likely to stimulate debate?

Very likely  $\emptyset$  Likely  $\square$  Somewhat likely  $\square$  Not likely  $\square$ 

#### **Originality and Quality**

To what extent does the paper represent original primary research?

Very original  $\square$  Original  $ot\! Q$  Somewhat original  $\square$  Not original  $\square$  Not applicable  $\square$ 

To what extent does the paper represent original theoretical insights?

Very original  $oldsymbol{ ilde O}$  Original  $\Box$  Somewhat original  $\Box$  Not original  $\Box$  Not applicable  $\Box$ 

Does the paper introduce new findings of interest to the conference?

Very novel  $\Box$  Novel  $\emptyset$  Somewhat novel  $\Box$  Not novel  $\Box$ 

Does the paper meet expectations in terms of depth and range of analysis?

Exceed  $\Box$  Meet  $extsf{ ilde O}$  Does not meet  $\Box$ 

### **Citation / Formatting**

Does it follow the AMPS formatting template?

Yes Ø Somewhat  $\Box$  No  $\Box$ 

Is it within the word limit?

Yes  $\emptyset$  Approximately  $\Box$  No  $\Box$ 

#### **Clarity of Expression**

Are the principal ideas / arguments clearly and convincingly explained?

Very clear  $\Box$  Clear  $oldsymbol{ heta}$  Somewhat Clear  $\Box$  Not clear  $\Box$ 

Is the standard of written English appropriate / sufficient for publication?

Ready for publication  $oldsymbol{ extsf{0}}$  In need of minor copyedit  $\Box$  Not sufficient  $\Box$ 

Type of copyedit recommended:

None  $\emptyset$  Minor proofreading (grammar)  $\Box$  Minor proofreading (clarify)  $\Box$  Major

copyedit (English expression)  $\Box$ 

#### Other comments to the author or reviewers:

The paper engages with debate in New York City around the construction of housing on land, identifying various angles and criticisms from all parties. It thus underlines the issue of complexity and the way in which any single decision affecting the built environment is subject to contestation as a result.

The author identifies that he/she will discuss a case study of "integrative development" that seems to attempt to reconcile a number of these complexities. This will be the core argument of the paper and I assume will be the main focus of the presentation.

Status: Ø Accept

Accept with	amendments
-------------	------------

Reject with option to resubmit

Reject

Proposed for an alternative event