New York City College of Technology
Student Evaluation of Teaching Summary
SCHOOL: TECHNOLOGY \& DESIGN

| INSTRUCTOR: | BOURATOGLOU, JILL |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SECTION: | 9640 | COURSE: | ARCH 3511 |
| ENROLLMENT: | 19 | Sections: | 3 |

RESPONSE $74 \%(14)$
RATE:

Sections: 3
RATE:


|  |  | ENT DIS | RIBUTION | F RESPON | ES |  | ECTION |  |  | OUR |  |  | PARTM | NT* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QUESTIONS | Strongly Agree | Agree | Not Sure or Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | AVG | SD | N | AVG | SD | N | AVG | SD | N | Section mean minus other sections mean (if 5 or more sections) |
| 01. Communicated in a way I understood? | 93\% (13) | 7\% (1) |  |  |  | 4.93 | 0.267 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.46 | 0.752 | 1559 | - |
| 02. Held my interest and attention in class? | 93\% (13) | 7\% (1) |  |  |  | 4.93 | 0.267 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.31 | 0.882 | 1560 | - |
| 03. Took time to explain material when students did not understand? | 100\% (14) |  |  |  |  | 5.00 | 0.000 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.47 | 0.809 | 1563 | - |
| 04. Students encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers? | 100\% (14) |  |  |  |  | 5.00 | 0.000 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.49 | 0.784 | 1556 | - |
| 05. Students encouraged to express own ideas and/or participate in class activities? | 100\% (14) |  |  |  |  | 5.00 | 0.000 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.51 | 0.737 | 1557 | - |
| 06. Treated students with courtesy and respect? | 100\% (14) |  |  |  |  | 5.00 | 0.000 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.62 | 0.669 | 1559 | - |
| 07. Available to students for discussions or conferences? | 100\% (14) |  |  |  |  | 5.00 | 0.000 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.41 | 0.818 | 1559 | - |
| 08. Generally met class on time and held class to end of period? | 100\% (14) |  |  |  |  | 5.00 | 0.000 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.55 | 0.742 | 1556 | - |
| 09. Spoke clearly and could be heard in class? | 93\% (13) | 7\% (1) |  |  |  | 4.93 | 0.267 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.58 | 0.697 | 1561 | - |
| 10. Grading system for course clearly explained? | 86\% (12) | 14\% (2) |  |  |  | 4.86 | 0.363 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.38 | 0.850 | 1561 | - |
| 11. Overall teaching was effective? | 86\% (12) | 14\% (2) |  |  |  | 4.86 | 0.363 | 14 | - | - | - | 4.39 | 0.866 | 1558 | - |
| * AVG: Average; SD: Standard Deviation; N: Number of respondents |  |  |  |  | OVERALL | 4.95 | 0.139 | 154 | - | - | - | 4.47 | 0.782 | 17149 | - |

A. Reason(s) enrolled in course is (are):

It is required. 14 ( $74 \%$ )
It fit into my schedule. 2 (11\%)
B. Grade I expected in this course:

A $11(79 \%)$
B 3 (21\%)
t fit into my schedule. 2 (11\%)
2(11\%)
It is an elective. (l)
Subject was of interest. 1 (5\%)
Thought I could get a good grade. ()

0-15 ()
16-30
31-45 1 (7\%)
More than $45 \quad 13(93 \%)$


 Standard Deviation, as well.

