

**NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY
OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK**

FACULTY CLASS ROOM OBSERVATION REPORT Year 2017

Untenured

Tenured

Department English **Course/Section** ENG1710/D518

Name of Observee Ellis, Jason Rank Asst. Professor
Last Name, First Name

Name of Observer Johannah Rodgers Rank Assoc. Professor

Date of Observation 10/17/17 Room V103B

Lesson Topic & Brief Summary Review of Course Readings; Discussion of "Remediation";
Group Work on Topic of Remediation

Please complete each item. This report will be returned unless each category contains supporting comments. Use additional pages if necessary.



- 1. CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT (prompt start, efficient attendance check):
 Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Instructor was in class well before the start of class. Attendance was taken.

- 2. PROFESSIONAL TRAITS (professional appearance and demeanor, clarity, volume, and pace of speech; establishment of rapport with students)
 Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Instructor had a very comfortable but professional rapport with students. Instructor spoke clearly and at a pace and level that students could understand. Instructor was professionally dressed.

3. **SUBJECT MASTERY** (accuracy of presented material, use of appropriate terminology, competence in use of equipment)
()Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory

The instructor was very comfortable presenting and discussion all aspects of the wide range of readings discussed in the class.

4. **ORGANIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF MATERIAL** (clear statement of objectives, logical sequence, budgeting of time, review, summary, and outside assignments as appropriate)
()Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory

The instructor structured the one hour and fifty five minute class session into two segments: one hour and forty minutes for lecture and review of readings and fifteen minutes for group work. There was a clear statement of objectives and a clear lesson plan. However, there was almost no time allocated to student questions or discussion.

5. **PRESENTATION OF MATERIAL** (level and clarity of presentation, appropriate use of learning aids)
()Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory

The instructor's presentation of the material was meticulous and accompanied by an extensive PowerPoint presentation to supplement the lecture presented. My concerns about the presentation of the material relate entirely to the lack of direct student engagement during the course of the presentation. Although some students were taking notes, others were working on outside course work. While I realize that in a computer classroom it can be difficult to always know what students are doing, I felt that the lesson plan did not take into account the need for some digestion and discussion of the material presented.

6. **STUDENT-INSTRUCTOR INTERACTION** (relevance, variety, and clarity of questions, appropriate recognition of student contributions)
()Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory

The limited interaction that took place between the students and professor was excellent.

7. OVERALL EVALUATION (categories 1 through 6)

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

8. SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT (use additional pages if necessary)

In place of recommendations, I have some questions for the instructor:

- a. What did you hope students in the class would take away from the lecture and review of materials presented?
- b. Given the course level and the complexity of the texts being discussed, how might you more actively engage students in a discussion and review class session? For instance, could students have presented on each of the readings reviewed? Would it have been possible to incorporate student responses to readings into the review of readings?
- c. How might group work be structured to better facilitate student interaction with the text being discussed?

I have read and have been given a copy of the above report, and so signify by my signature below. I understand that I may attach additional comments to this document.

Signature of Observee

date

Signature of Observer

date