Group project assessment rubric

Criterion	4	3	2	1	Score
Interdisciplinary concept	Interdisciplinary concepts are evident throughout the project. All aspects of the simulation are clear, appropriate, and correct.	Interdisciplinary concepts are evident in much of the project. Most aspects of the simulation are clear, appropriate, and correct.	Some interdisciplinary concepts are evident. Some aspects of the simulation are confusing, incorrect, or flawed.	Interdisciplinary concepts are not evident. Simulation is confusing, incorrect, or flawed.	
Originality	The project shows significant evidence of originality and inventiveness. The majority of the content and many of the ideas are original, inventive, and based upon synthesized research and logical conclusions.	The project shows evidence of originality and inventiveness. While based on an extensive collection of other people's ideas, builds, images and inventions, the work extends beyond that collection to offer new insights.	The project includes an extensive collection and rehash of other people's ideas, builds, images and inventions. There is little evidence of new thought or inventiveness.	The project includes minimal collection or rehash of other people's ideas, builds, images, and inventions. There is no evidence of new thought.	
Media use	Makes excellent use of prims, scripting, images, etc. to enhance the project. The project flows well, keeps the attention of the audience and is very interesting.	Makes good prims, scripting, images, etc. to enhance the project. Project flows well and is interesting.	Makes use of prims, scripting, images, etc. to enhance the project. Majority of project flows well and has some interesting items included.	Use of prims, scripting, images, etc. but these often distract from the project content. Majority of project is disjointed and interest level is sporadic.	
Technical	Project runs perfectly with no technical problems. For example, there are no error messages, all sound, video, or other files are found.	Project runs adequately with minor technical problems.	Project runs minimally. There are many technical problems when viewing the project.	Project does not run satisfactorily. There are too many technical problems to view the project.	
Workload	The workload is divided and shared equally by all team members.	The workload is divided and shared fairly by all team members, though workloads may vary from person to person.	The workload was divided, but one person in the group is viewed as not doing his/her fair share of the work.	The workload was not divided or several people in the group are viewed as not doing their fair share of the work.	
				Total:	

Note: Criteria are evaluated on a 4-3-2-1-0 basis. Total rubric points will be converted to a letter grade: 19–20 = A; 18 = A-; 17 = B+; 14–16 = B; 13 = B-; 12 = C+; 9–11 = C; 8 = C-; 4–7 = D; and 0–3 = F.