Student Name:		Course:	Section Number:	
HMGT 3502 Research Seminar Writing Rubric  Instructions: - Check in only one box after each of the questions, for example ☑ or 図.  Use a No. 2 pencil, blue or black ballpoint pen (not gel pens).				
Performance Criteria	High Proficiency	Proficiency	Some Proficiency	No Proficiency
Grading Points:				
Context and Purpose for Writing (includes consideration of audience & circumstances surrounding the task)	Thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s).	Adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose with a focus on the assigned task(s).	Some evidence of awareness of context, audience, purpose, and the assigned task(s); begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions.	Minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned task(s).
Format	Accurately follows the standardized format or given instructions; all sections are present and clearly labeled; each section contains all of the appropriate information.	Mostly follows the standardized format or given instructions; contains all sections, whose content is generally correct with only occasional lapses; minor edits are required.	Minimally follows the standardized format or given instructions; some sense of organization and structure; contains all sections, but the content within each section is not appropriate.	Does not adhere to standardized format (APA) or given instructions; no sense of introduction, body, conclusion; does not contain all sections required; handwritten/sloppy in appearance.
Language	Very few errors in sentence structure and mechanics; exhibits good to excellent command of language and professional terminology; sentences are complex and vocabulary is sophisticated; skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency.	Few errors in spelling, grammar, verb tense and punctuation; sentence structure (subject and predicate) is generally correct although still simplistic and occasionally repetitious.; generally straightforward language that conveys meaning to the readers.	Several instances of grammatical errors and demonstrates a lack of editing; sentence structure is simplistic, little variety; although there are errors, uses language that conveys meaning to readers.	Numerous errors in spelling, grammar, verb tense and punctuation; no paragraphs, numerous fragmented sentences; extremely limited vocabulary; use of language impedes meaning because of errors in usage.
Communication	Thoughts are carefully organized and allows reader to easily follow all of the arguments; no lapses in logic or clarity; thoughts are clearly expressed with focus and fluency.	Thoughts are organized and the main ideas are exposed, but some connections are not clearly supported by the written text; topic presented (or proof if applicable) is clear, with very minor lapses.	Thoughts are expressed using vague language; attempts to convey main ideas of the paper but lacks focus and fluency; overall document is somewhat confusing.	Does not articulate thoughts or ideas; organization of the document is not clear enough for reader to follow arguments; lacks focus and fluency in writing.
Analysis /Synthesis	Successfully performed a thorough analysis; all important results/arguments are clearly identified and motivated; presence of significant personal comments and observations prove a real understanding of the topic.	Statement of the important results are clear and complete but may include too much information or information that is not relevant; analysis of important points (or data) is well-thought-out but lacks a few important points; relevant comments or real world connections are included.	Statement of important results/content is incomplete; some personal comments are present but not particularly relevant for the discussion; identifies the critical elements but does not demonstrate an accurate comprehension of the concept; analysis of important points (or data) is incoherent and or incomplete.	Demonstrates little understanding of what the important data/content that should be presented; comments, if present, are superficial or not related to the main topic discussed, does not identify significant professional standard findings; analysis of important points (or data) is lacking.
Supporting Evidence	Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.	Does not attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing, or provides inappropriate sources.

■ NYCCT AIR Spring 2015 ■