

Ignorable coordinates

Friday, October 18, 2019 11:06 AM

One aspect of the Hamiltonian formalism that shows some advantages with respect to the Lagrangian formalism is the handling of **ignorable coordinates**. These type of coordinates were defined as the generalized coordinates that do not appear explicitly in the Lagrangian:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} = 0 \rightarrow q_i = \text{ignorable coordinate}$$

If a given q is ignorable the corresponding generalized momentum is constant

$$q_i \text{ is ignorable} \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = 0 \rightarrow \dot{p}_i = 0 \rightarrow p_i = \text{const}$$

Of course, this fact emerges also from the Hamiltonian formalism, since

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} = - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \dot{q}_j - \mathcal{L}(\{q_k, \dot{q}_k(q, p)\}, t) \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i} &= \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \frac{\partial \dot{q}_j}{\partial q_i} - \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} + \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \frac{\partial \dot{q}_k}{\partial q_i}}_{= p_k} \right) \\ &= - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for an ignorable coordinate

$$\dot{p}_i = - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i} = 0 \rightarrow p_i = \text{const}$$

If an Hamiltonian has an ignorable coordinate, it is straightforward to reduce the problem to a problem with one less degree of freedom. As an example one can consider an Hamiltonian that involves two generalized coordinates, one of which is ignorable.

$$\mathcal{H}(q_1, p_1, p_2) \rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_2} = 0 \rightarrow q_2 \text{ is ignorable}$$

An Hamiltonian of type is for example the Hamiltonian of a particle moving on a plane under the action of a central force

$$\mathcal{H}(r, p_r, p_\phi) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_r^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{r^2} \right) + U(r)$$

↳ ϕ is ignorable

Since p_2 is constant, the problem immediately reduces to a problem with only one degree of freedom

$$p_2 \equiv k \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(q_1, p_1, k) \rightarrow \text{1 generalized coordinate}$$

$$p_\phi \equiv l \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(r, p_r, l) \quad \leftarrow \text{now a parameter}$$

This simplification is not immediately seen in the Lagrangian formalism, since the generalized velocity can still be time dependent even when the generalized momentum is a constant of motion. In our example

$$p_\phi = m r^2 \dot{\phi}$$

constant of motion \nearrow \uparrow \nwarrow can change in time
 \nwarrow can change in time