## Writing Assignment Rubric

Team: \_\_\_\_\_

Grade: \_\_\_\_\_

Assessment: An ability to effectively communicate technical and nontechnical concepts, ideas, and results in writing; and an ability to identify and use appropriate technical literature.

Criteria	Proficient 100%	Competent 75%	Competent 60%	Unacceptable 0%
Formatting	The report accurately adheres to the given instructions for the	The report mostly follows the standardized format. Clear	The report minimally follows the standardized format. Clear	The report does not follow the standardized format. No
Weight 20%	format, the IEEE format. Careful and/or suitable organization with clearly labeled sections, with the corresponding font, font size, and numbering. It is neatly typed and includes labels and captions for figures and tables.	formatted sections with only occasional lapses, minor edits are required. Document typed with minor editing mistakes. Labels or captions for figures or tables are not accurate.	sections but format is not appropriate. In general, the document is typed but without a correct format. Labels or captions for figures or tables are missing.	sense of format in the sections or It does not contain the required sections. The document is very sloppy.
Organization and content	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.	Does not uses appropriate content to develop and explore ideas through most
Weight	the writer's understanding, shaping	topic and shape the whole work.	Demonstrates awareness of the	of the work. Demonstrates
30%	the whole work. Demonstrates a thorough understanding of the context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task.	Demonstrates adequate consideration of the context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task.	context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task.	none or minimal attention of the context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task.
Grammar	Precise and/or rich language. Depth and complexity of ideas supported	Acceptable, effective language. Depth of idea development	Simplistic and/or imprecise language. Unelaborated idea	Incorrect and/or ineffective wording and/or sentence
Weight 30%	by rich, engaging and/or pertinent details. No errors in grammar or format (e.g., spelling, punctuation, capitalization, headings)	supported by elaborated, relevant details. Few errors in grammar or format (e.g., spelling, punctuation, capitalization, headings) relative to length and complexity.	development; unelaborated and/or repetitious details. Some errors in grammar and/or format (e.g., spelling, punctuation, capitalization, headings) that do not interfere with communication	structure. Minimal idea development limited and/or unrelated details. Errors in grammar and format (e.g., spelling, punctuation, capitalization, headings)
Sources and Evidence	Demonstrate skillful use of high quality, credible, relevant, sources to develop ideas that are	Demonstrate consistent use of credible, relevant, sources to develop ideas that are appropriate	Demonstrate an attempt to use credible and/or relevant, sources to develop ideas that are	Lack of evidence to attempt to use sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for
Weight 20%	appropriate for the discipline and genre of writing.	for the discipline and genre of writing.	appropriate for the discipline and genre of writing.	the discipline and genre of writing.