## New York City College of Technology

## Student Evaluation of Teaching Summary

SCHOOL: School of Technology \& Design

| INSTRUCTOR: | AKM Rah |
| :--- | :--- |
| SECTION: | OL14 LEC |
| ENROLLMENT: | 18 |

RESPONSE RATE: 61\% (11)

DEPARTMENT: Mechanical Engineering Tech
Spring 2021

SECTIONS: 3

| QUESTIONS | DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSES |  |  |  |  | SECTION* |  |  | OTHER SECTIONS* |  |  | DEPARTMENT* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Strongly Agree | Agree | Not Sure or Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | AVG | SD | N | AVG | SD | N | AVG | SD | N |
| 1. The instructor communicated in a way I understood. | 36\% (4) | 36\% (4) | 9\% (1) |  | 18\% (2) | 3.73 | 1.489 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.15 | 1.116 | 649 |
| 2. The instructor held my interest and attention in class. | 27\% (3) | 55\% (6) |  |  | 18\% (2) | 3.73 | 1.421 | 11 |  |  |  | 3.97 | 1.158 | 649 |
| 3. The instructor took the time to explain the material when students did not understand it. | 36\% (4) | 45\% (5) |  | 9\% (1) | 9\% (1) | 3.91 | 1.300 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.16 | 1.068 | 649 |
| 4. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers. | 36\% (4) | 45\% (5) | 9\% (1) | 9\% (1) |  | 4.09 | 0.944 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.21 | 1.023 | 649 |
| 5. Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or participate in class activities. | 45\% (5) | 45\% (5) |  | 9\% (1) |  | 4.27 | 0.905 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.14 | 1.029 | 649 |
| 6. The instructor treated students with courtesy and respect. | 36\% (4) | 36\% (4) | 18\% (2) | 9\% (1) |  | 4.00 | 1.000 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.35 | 0.941 | 649 |
| 7. The instructor was available to students for discussions or conferences. | 36\% (4) | 36\% (4) | 18\% (2) | 9\% (1) |  | 4.00 | 1.000 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.16 | 1.004 | 649 |
| 8. The instructor generally met the class on time and held class to the end of the period. | 36\% (4) | 27\% (3) | 9\% (1) | 9\% (1) | 18\% (2) | 3.55 | 1.572 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.30 | 0.955 | 649 |
| 9. The instructor spoke clearly and could be heard in class. | 36\% (4) | 45\% (5) |  |  | 18\% (2) | 3.82 | 1.471 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.20 | 1.029 | 649 |
| 10. The grading system for the course was clearly explained. | 36\% (4) | 36\% (4) | 9\% (1) | 9\% (1) | 9\% (1) | 3.82 | 1.328 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.18 | 1.005 | 647 |
| 11. Overall the instructor's teaching was effective. | 27\% (3) | 45\% (5) | 9\% (1) | 9\% (1) | 9\% (1) | 3.73 | 1.272 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.02 | 1.168 | 646 |
| OVERALL |  |  |  |  |  | 3.88 | 1.246 | 11 |  |  |  | 4.17 | 1.045 | 649 |

*AVG: Average; SD: Standard Deviation; N: Number of respondents
A. The reason(s) I am enrolled in this course is (are): It is required.

100\% (11)

9\% (1)
Teacher's excellent reputation.
t is an elective.
Subject was of interest.
Thought I could get a good grade
B. Grade I expect in this course:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { A } & 36 \%(4) \\
B & 45 \%(5) \\
\text { C } & 18 \%(2)
\end{array}
$$

C. College level credits I earned before the beginning of this semester:

0-15

| $16-30$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $31-45$ | $27 \%(3)$ |
| More than 45 | $73 \%(8)$ |

2. Other Sections Mean: The mean for all of the scores for the other sections, excluding yours
3. Department Mean: The mean for all of the sections of all courses in the department.
