## New York City College of Technology

## Student Evaluation of Teaching Summary

SCHOOL: School of Technology \& Design

| INSTRUCTOR: | AKM Rah |
| :--- | :--- |
| SECTION: | OL08 LEC |
| ENROLLMENT: | 19 |
| RESPONSE RATE: | (8) |

RESPONSE RATE: 42\% (8)

DEPARTMENT: Mechanical Engineering Tech
Spring 2021
597086

SECTIONS: 4

|  | DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSES |  |  |  |  | SECTION* |  |  | OTHER SECTIONS* |  |  | DEPARTMENT* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QUESTIONS | Strongly Agree | Agree | Not Sure or Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | AVG | SD | N | AVG | SD | N | AVG | SD | N |
| 1. The instructor communicated in a way I understood. | 13\% (1) | 38\% (3) | 25\% (2) | 13\% (1) | 13\% (1) | 3.25 | 1.282 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.15 | 1.116 | 649 |
| 2. The instructor held my interest and attention in class. | 13\% (1) | 38\% (3) | 13\% (1) | 13\% (1) | 25\% (2) | 3.00 | 1.512 | 8 |  |  |  | 3.97 | 1.158 | 649 |
| 3. The instructor took the time to explain the material when students did not understand it. | 13\% (1) | 38\% (3) | 13\% (1) | 25\% (2) | 13\% (1) | 3.13 | 1.356 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.16 | 1.068 | 649 |
| 4. Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers. | 25\% (2) | 25\% (2) | 25\% (2) | 13\% (1) | 13\% (1) | 3.38 | 1.408 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.21 | 1.023 | 649 |
| 5. Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or participate in class activities. | 25\% (2) | 38\% (3) | 25\% (2) | 13\% (1) |  | 3.75 | 1.035 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.14 | 1.029 | 649 |
| 6. The instructor treated students with courtesy and respect. | 13\% (1) | 63\% (5) | 13\% (1) | 13\% (1) |  | 3.75 | 0.886 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.35 | 0.941 | 649 |
| 7. The instructor was available to students for discussions or conferences. | 13\% (1) | 38\% (3) | 38\% (3) | 13\% (1) |  | 3.50 | 0.926 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.16 | 1.004 | 649 |
| 8. The instructor generally met the class on time and held class to the end of the period. | 13\% (1) | 50\% (4) | 13\% (1) | 13\% (1) | 13\% (1) | 3.38 | 1.302 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.30 | 0.955 | 649 |
| 9. The instructor spoke clearly and could be heard in class. | 25\% (2) | 38\% (3) | 13\% (1) | 13\% (1) | 13\% (1) | 3.50 | 1.414 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.20 | 1.029 | 649 |
| 10. The grading system for the course was clearly explained. | 25\% (2) | 63\% (5) | 13\% (1) |  |  | 4.13 | 0.641 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.18 | 1.005 | 647 |
| 11. Overall the instructor's teaching was effective. | 13\% (1) | 50\% (4) | 13\% (1) | 25\% (2) |  | 3.50 | 1.069 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.02 | 1.168 | 646 |
| OVERALL |  |  |  |  |  | 3.48 | 1.167 | 8 |  |  |  | 4.17 | 1.045 | 649 |

*AVG: Average; SD: Standard Deviation; N: Number of respondents
A. The reason(s) I am enrolled in this course is (are): It is required.

100\% (8)
It fit into my schedule.
Teacher's excellent reputation. 13\% (1)
It is an elective.
Subject was of interest.
Thought I could get a good grade.
B. Grade I expect in this course:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { A } & 25 \%(2) \\
\text { B } & 63 \%(5) \\
\text { C } & 13 \%(1)
\end{array}
$$

C. College level credits I earned before the beginning of this semester: 0-15

| $16-30$ | $25 \%(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $31-45$ | $13 \%(1)$ |
| More than 45 | $63 \%(5)$ |

2. Other Sections Mean: The mean for all of the scores for the other sections, excluding yours.
3. Department Mean: The mean for all of the sections of all courses in the department.
