Day 6

Sec 2.4, 2.5, 2.6

Vocabulary

- open sentence	- logically equivalent
- converse	- contrapositive
- if and only if	- De Morgan's Laws

Definitions and Notation

- A sentence whose truth depends on the value of one or more variables is called an **open sentence**. An **open sentence** is not a statement.
- The statement $Q \Longrightarrow P$ is called the **converse** of $P \Longrightarrow Q$. NOTE: A conditional statement and its converse express entirely different things!
- $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ means $(P \Rightarrow Q) \land (Q \Rightarrow P)$. It is read "P if and only if Q".
- List of alternative phrases, all of which mean " $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ "
 - P if and only if Q
 - P is a necessary and sufficient condition for Q.
 - For P is it necessary and sufficient that Q.
 - If P, then Q, and conversely.
- Two statements are **logically equivalent** if their truth values match up line-for-line in a truth table. In symbols, we express this using the equals sign.
- RULE: We are allowed to replace a statement with a logically equivalent statement
- The contrapositive of $P \Longrightarrow Q$ is $(\sim Q) \Longrightarrow (\sim P)$.

Example 1: Truth table for $P \Leftrightarrow Q$

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$ $(P \Rightarrow Q) \land (Q \Rightarrow P)$
Т	Т	
Т	F	
F	Т	
F	F	

Example 2

Is $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ logically equivalent to $(P \land Q) \lor (\sim P \land \sim Q)$?

lesson biords Equivalence

Lesson Equivalence

Siconditions

Lagina

L conditional "if... then" P- Q condition/ Q -> P + the converse of) Question: do P>Q and Q>P mean the save thing? Troth table (P=0) 1 (Q=P) Q>P P→Q PQ Sometimes its the rase that both P-Q and Q->P hold P: n is even Q: n is divisible by 2 $P \rightarrow Q) \land (Q)$

Find Truth table for biconditional:

Two statements are **logically equivalent** if their truth values match up line-for-line in a truth table. In symbols, we express this using the equals sign.

Qu		s P A logic Per Q equinalent (P	ally equivant to and Q are both true, Pand Q are both false.	v
P	Q	PHQ	(PAQ)v(~PA~Q)	_ logically
T T F		TFFT	T F F F F F F T F T T T T	equivalent becomes the truth tables are identical
	(0	nclusion: PE	>Q = (PAQ)	v(~p 1~Q)

Example 4: Which of the following is logically equivalent to $P \implies Q$?

1.
$$Q \Longrightarrow P$$
 (the converse) $\nearrow 0$

2.
$$\sim Q \implies P$$

3.
$$Q \Longrightarrow \sim P$$

4.
$$\sim Q \implies \sim P$$
 (the contrapositive)